







ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

ECEIVED

2013 APR 16 A 10: 17

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

DATE:

APRIL 16, 2013

DOCKET NO.:

T-01847A-12-0485

TO ALL PARTIES:

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Teena Jibilian. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Opinion and Order on:

VALLEY TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE, INC. (RATES)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and thirteen (13) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by 4:00 p.m. on or before:

APRIL 25, 2013

The enclosed is <u>NOT</u> an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for the Commission's Open Meeting to be held on:

MAY 1, 2013 AND MAY 2, 2013

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602) 542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602) 542-4250. For information about the Open Meeting, contact the Executive Director's Office at (602) 542-3931.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

APR 16 2013

DOCKETED

In

JODI JERICH

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET; PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-2927 / 400 WEST CONGRESS STREET; TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701-1347 WWW.AZCC.QOV

This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Shaylin Bernal, ADA Coordinator, voice phone number 602-542-3931, E-mail SABernal@azcc.gov.

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

1	DEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION		
2	<u>COMMISSIONERS</u>		
3	BOB STUMP - Chairman GARY PIERCE		
4	BRENDA BURNS		
5	BOB BURNS SUSAN BITTER SMITH		
6			
7	VALLEY TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE		DOCKET NO. T-01847A-12-0485
8			DECISION NO.
9	VALUE OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, AND TO INCREASE RESIDENTIAL		
10	RATES AS NECESSARY TO COMPENS THE RATE IMPACTS OF THE FCC'S US		
11	TRANSFORMATION ORDER.		OPINION AND ORDER
12	DATE OF HEARING:	March 26,	2013
13	PLACE OF HEARING:	Phoenix, A	rizona
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	Teena Jibil	ian
15	APPEARANCES:		A. Marks, CRAIG A. MARKS, PLC, on applicant; and
16 17		Attorneys,	es O. Hains and Mr. Brian E. Smith, Staff Legal Division, on behalf of the Utilities f the Arizona Corporation Commission.
18	BY THE COMMISSION:		
19	On November 23, 2012, Valley Telephone Company ("Valley Telephone" or "Company")		
20	filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") the above-captioned application		
21	The application states that it was filed pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-250 and Arizona Administrative Code		
22	R14-2-103, to compensate for the rate impacts of the Federal Communication Commission's		
23	("FCC's") November 18, 2011 Universal Service Fund/Inter-carrier Compensation ("USF/ICC")		
24	Transformation Order ("USF/ICC Transformation Order").1		
25	* * * *	* *	* * * * *
26	Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the		
27			
	¹ FCC 11-161, Connect America Fund, WC Docke	et No. 10-90 et	al., Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed

¹ FCC 11-161, Connect America Fund, WC Docket No. 10-90 et al., Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 26 FCC Rcd 17663 (November 18, 2011); pets. for review pending (10th Cir. filed Dec. 8, 2011).

1

Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

2

3

4

FINDINGS OF FACT

Procedural History

5

1. On December 4, 2012, Valley Telephone filed the rate application with the Commission.

6

2. On January 22, 2013, Valley Telephone filed a Motion for Procedural Order.

7

3. On January 28, 2013, a Rate Case Procedural Order was issued, setting the matter for hearing and establishing associated procedural deadlines, including the mailing of notice of the application and hearing to all of Valley Telephone's customers.

9

4. On February 22, 2013, Valley Telephone filed an Affidavit indicating that notice as ordered by the Rate Case Procedural Order was mailed to each customer of Valley Telephone.

11 12

5. No requests for intervention were filed.

13

14

6. On March 14, 2013, Staff filed its Staff Report recommending approval of the application, for an increase from \$13.75 to \$14.00 in the monthly residence local exchange rate to address the impact of the FCC's USF/ICC Order.

1516

7. On March 21, 2013, Staff filed the Testimony Summary of its witness.

17

8. No public comment was filed in opposition to the rate increase.

18

authorized Administrative Law Judge of the Commission. Valley Telephone and Staff appeared

On March 26, 2013, a hearing on the application was convened before a duly

20

through counsel, presented testimony and evidence through witnesses, and were provided an

21

opportunity to cross examine witnesses. No members of the public appeared to provide public

22

23

10. Following the parties' submission of evidence, the matter was taken under advisement pending the submission of a Recommended Opinion and Order.

2425

Valley Telephone

comment.

2627

11. Valley Telephone is an Arizona public service corporation engaged in the business of providing telephone utility service to the public in Cochise and Graham Counties, Arizona. It also provides service to the public in portions of New Mexico. In its April 15, 2012, Utilities Annual

28

2

Report, Valley Telephone reported 1,780 residential lines and 464 business lines.

3

Valley Telephone's rates were originally set at the time of its formation in 1962 and 12. reset to \$12.00 in August 1970. In mid to late 1990s, the base residential rate of \$12.00 was combined with the Touch Tone service charge, resulting in the present residential rate of \$13.75.

4 5

Valley Telephone is a rate of return incumbent local exchange carrier ("ILEC") 13. eligible to receive federal high-cost loop support ("FHCLS").

6

7

FCC USF/ICC Order

8

12

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

14. On November 18, 2011, the FCC issued the USF/ICC Order. The USF/ICC Order provides for a transition from former federal universal service programs and most intercarrier compensation systems into a new Connect America Fund ("CAF"). In its USF/ICC Order, the FCC states that by July 1, 2020, intercarrier compensation rates for rate of return companies will be reduced to zero. The recovery from the CAF will phase out over time at 5 percent annually.

- 15. The USF/ICC Order adds new rules that will reduce FHCLS to carriers by the amount their flat-rate residential local service rates fall below a specified local service rate floor. The rate floor includes state subscriber line charges, state universal service fees, and mandatory extended area service charges, if any are assessed. The USF/ICC Order establishes those rate floors at \$14.00 as of June 1, 2013, with the floor thereafter being determined annually by the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau.
- 16. As a recipient of FHCLS, Valley Telephone is affected by the FCC USF/ICC Order. Under the USF/ICC Order, to continue receiving FHCLS, rural ILECs such as Valley Telephone must increase their residential local rates to the FCC-mandated residential rate floors. Otherwise, the amount of FHCLS funds received will be reduced dollar-for-dollar for each customer by the difference between the existing local rate and the new rate floor.

Application

- 17. The application requests that Valley Telephone be authorized to raise its residential local rates from \$13.75 to the \$14.00 rate floor mandated by the USF/ICC Order to allow it to continue receiving FHCLS.
 - Valley Telephone submitted the application, after consulting with Staff, in a 18.

"streamlined" form. The application and accompanying exhibits in support of Valley Telephone's requested increase in residential rates are based on the twelve months ending December 31, 2011.

- 19. For the twelve months ending December 31, 2011, Valley Telephone's filing indicates total Arizona Intrastate Operating Revenues of \$4,533,525 (which includes FHCLS and Federal Safety Net Additive Support of \$3,478,458), and total Arizona Intrastate Operating Expenses of \$4,318,913, for total Arizona Intrastate Operating Income of \$214,612.
- 20. The filing indicates a total Arizona rate base of \$30,718,283, of which \$12,064,884 is interstate, and \$18,653,398 is intrastate.

Staff Recommendations

- 21. Staff states that it reviewed the application and the federal rule changes that prompted its filing. Staff states that it concluded that the costs appear reasonable and appropriate under the unique circumstances of this case, but that its recommendation should not be viewed as precedent for the processing of future rate case applications.
- 22. Staff states that for the purposes of this proceeding, Valley Telephone stipulated to the use of original cost less depreciation ("OCRB") as the basis for a determination of its fair value rate base ("FVRB").
- 23. Staff reviewed and analyzed the filing, but did not perform a regulatory audit. Staff does not recommend that Valley Telephone's rates be set based on a revenue requirement analysis.
- 24. Staff states that the annual revenue effect of Valley Telephone's requested increase in local telephone service rates to \$14.00 would be \$5,245. Staff states that compared to Valley Telephone's total revenues, any revenue impact from this rate increase would be small, and any impact on Valley Telephone's fair value rate of return would be de minimus.
- 25. Staff recommends that Valley Telephone's monthly residence local exchange rate be increased from \$13.75 to \$14.00 to address the impact of the USF/ICC Transformation Order. Staff states that it believes the requested increase is just, fair, and reasonable for the following reasons:
 - (a) The increase is necessitated by the FCC's November 18, 2011 USF/ICC Transformation Order;
 - (b) The increase is necessary to preserve the entirety of the federal USF funds that may flow to Valley Telephone pursuant to the FCC's rules;

- (c) The increase will minimize/reduce the amount of future rate increase; and
- (d) The increase will allow Valley Telephone to receive matching funds from the FUSF.
- 26. The Staff Report states that on December 12, 2012, the Records Section of the Corporations Division responded that Valley Telephone is in Good Standing, and a review of Consumer Services database revealed that four complaints, inquiries and opinions were received pertaining to Valley Telephone for the period January 1, 2009 December 12, 2012. The Staff Report indicates that all four issues were addressed successfully and in a timely manner, and the files are closed.
- 27. Staff states that a check of the Utilities Division Compliance Section database showed that Valley Telephone is in compliance with all items.

Conclusions

- 1. Under the particular circumstances of this proceeding, a rate of return analysis is not useful.
- 2. According to the evidence presented, the rate increase request will have a de minimus impact on Valley Telephone's return on FVRB.
 - 3. Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted.
- 4. Under the particular circumstances of this proceeding, Valley Telephone's rates for residential local service should increase from the currently tariffed rate of \$13.75 to \$14.00, and all other currently tariffed rates should remain unchanged, in order to assure continued FUSF support for Valley Telephone's services.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Valley Telephone is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article 15 of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-250 and 40-251.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over the Company and over the subject matter of this proceeding.
 - 3. The Company provided notice of this proceeding in accordance with law.
 - 4. The Company's Arizona Intrastate FVRB as of December 31, 2011, is \$30,718,283.

ı				
1	5.	Under the particular circumstan	nces of this proceeding, a rate	of return analysis is not
2	useful.			
3	6.	Under the particular circumsta	nces of this proceeding, it is	appropriate to increase
4	Valley Telej	phone's rates for residential local	service from the currently t	ariffed rate of \$13.75 to
5	\$14.00, and to leave all other currently tariffed rates unchanged, in order to assure continued FUS			
6	support for Valley Telephone's services.			
7	7.	The rates and charges authorize	d herein are just and reasonab	le and promote the public
8	interest.			
9	8.	The Company should be direct	ted to file revised tariffs show	wing the rates authorized
10	herein.			
11			<u>ORDER</u>	
12	IT IS	S THEREFORE ORDERED that '	Valley Telephone Company si	hall increase its rates and
13	charges in a	ccordance with the Findings of Fac	ct herein.	
14	IT IS	S FURTHER ORDERED that suc	ch new rates and charges sha	ll be effective for Valley
15	Telephone (Company's billings on or after June	e 1, 2013.	
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24	li			
25				
26	1			
27	1			
28	1			

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Valley Telephone Company is authorized and directed to					
2	file, on or before May 31, 2013, revised schedules of rates and charges consistent with the Findings					
3	of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained herein.					
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.					
5	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.					
6						
7			·			
8	CHAIRMAN		COMMISSIONER			
9						
10	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER			
11						
12		IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Director of the Arizona Co	rporation Commission, have			
13		hereunto set my hand and ca Commission to be affixed at the this day of	used the official seal of the			
14						
15						
16 17		JODI JERICH EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR				
18	DISSENT					
19						
20	DISSENT					
21						
22						
23						
24						
25		·				
26	·					
27						
28						

		## 1 시간 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
.1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	VALLEY TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE, INC.
2	DOCKET NO.:	T-01847A-12-0485
3	Craig A. Marks	
4	CRAIG A. MARKS, PLC 10645 N. Tatum Blvd., Suite 200-676	
5	Phoenix, AZ 85028	
6	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISS	SION
7	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007	
8		
9	Steven M. Olea, Director Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISS	NOIS
10	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007	SION
11	Phoenix, AZ 65007	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	,	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	; 1	

DECISION NO.